



“The Markets Need More Skeptics”

- [The Economist on Wirecard](#)

THIS RESEARCH REPORT EXPRESSES SOLELY OUR OPINIONS. Use BOC Texas, LLC’s research opinions at your own risk. This report and its contents are not intended to be and do not constitute or contain any financial product advice as defined in the Australian Corporations Act 2001 (Cth). Because this document has been prepared without consideration of any specific clients’ investment objectives, financial situation or needs, no information in this report should be construed as recommending or suggesting an investment strategy. Investors should seek their own financial, legal and tax advice in respect of any decision regarding any securities discussed herein. You should do your own research and due diligence before making any investment decisions, including with respect to the securities discussed herein. We have a short interest in Seek Ltd’s stock and therefore stand to realize significant gains in the event that the price of such instrument declines. Please refer to our full disclaimer located on the last page of this report.

COMPANY: SEEK Ltd | ASX: SEK  
INDUSTRY: Business/Consumer Services

**Seek Ltd (ASX: SEK) is a growth stock without the growth.** In our investment opinion (the “[Report](#)”) published on October 29, 2020, we detailed our extensive investigation indicating that Seek’s most important business, Chinese online recruiting platform Zhaopin, is inundated with **zombie resumes** and **fake job postings**.

On November 2, 2020, Seek issued a flimsy, 2-page response (the “[Response](#)”) to our Report in which it failed to meaningfully address any of the substantive evidence of fake posts and zombie resumes raised in our Report. Seek even admitted in its Response that it did “**not wish to engage in detail**” with our allegation. Why not? Because we are right.

Seek’s actions speak louder than its words. **Since our report, Zhaopin has removed 64 of the 66 employers on its platforms we identified as likely fake, which we believe is clear validation of our work.** Recall that in the markets we sampled, the top employers accounted for almost 20% of the posts on these platforms, making it a significant admission of rot on Zhaopin.

In our opinion, Seek refuses to engage with our Report because our evidence is compelling. But investors deserve a straight answer, not a Company which is trying to sweep under the rug a discussion which would obviously undermine the market’s confidence in its critical Chinese platform.

Seek’s only response of substance is that Zhaopin is a “strong business with a long history” because it “continues to generate strong operating cash flows.” Seek argues that investors should have faith in Zhaopin, despite all of our evidence of zombie resumes and fake posts, because it generates cash. But if that is the case, then how does Seek explain the absence of cash flows from the Zhaopin business?

### 1. Where is Zhaopin’s Cash?

On paper, Zhaopin accounted for 46% of Seek’s free cash flows in FY 2020. The proportion of free cash flows reportedly generated by Zhaopin has increased materially over time, underscoring Zhaopin’s central importance to Seek’s business and its stock price.

AUD M	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cumulative
Seek Free Cash Flow	279	204	279	248	178	1,187
Zhaopin cash flows from operating activities	93	111	113	77	109	504
Zhaopin Capex	7	12	24	34	26	104
Zhaopin Free Cash Flow	86	99	89	43	83	400
<b>% of Seek's free cash flow</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>34%</b>
Zhaopin net profits	62	31	92	48	55	287

Source: Seek’s Annual Reports

### **If Zhaopin has really generated so much free cash flow, why does Zhaopin’s indebtedness keep increasing?**

In the past five years, Seek claims that Zhaopin generated AUD 400 million of free cash flow and AUD 287 million of net profits. We would expect that a growing, cash producing business would not need to borrow more and more money from the capital markets.

Yet over that time, Zhaopin’s **debt increased** from AUD 35 million in FY 2016 to AUD 467 million in FY 2020, an increase of AUD 432 million in five years. Inexplicably, Zhaopin’s cash balance fell over that period.

AUD M	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
Zhaopin Borrowings	35	34	342	436	467
Zhaopin Cash (excluded funds on deposit)	419	506	141	147	246
<b>Zhaopin Net Debt</b>	<b>(384)</b>	<b>(472)</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>221</b>

Source: Seek Annual Reports

Growing businesses generating robust free cash flows and healthy profits shouldn't need to keep raising debt from the capital markets. But Zhaopin's debts increased by **10x** during a five-year period in which, according to Seek, Zhaopin had more than enough idle cash sitting around to run its business.

## 2. Where are Zhaopin's Dividends?

The Company's defenders argue that Seek can be trusted because it pays a dividend. By this logic, Zhaopin's failure to pay dividends is a major red flag.

For all the cash flows and profits supposedly generated by Zhaopin, it only paid a dividend twice in ten years.

AUD M	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cumulative
Zhaopin Net Profit	16	29	20	31	48	62	31	92	48	55	431
<b>Zhaopin Dividend Payments</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>Zhaopin Payout Ratio</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>145%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>37%</b>

Source: Seek Annual Reports

Notably, Zhaopin funded the only recent dividend (FY 2018) by drawing on newly created debt facilities.<sup>1</sup>

### (ii) Loan facilities - Zhaopin Limited

Zhaopin utilises entrusted loan facilities. As announced on 6 October 2017, SEEK Limited received proceeds of US\$176.4m in relation to the Zhaopin privatisation transaction, of which US\$173.0m was subsequently used to repay existing SEEK Limited bank debt. As part of this transaction, Zhaopin drew down on newly created debt facilities.

Source: Seek FY2018 Annual Report

If Zhaopin's business generated so much cash and profits, why would it need to borrow to fund this dividend? Moreover, **if Zhaopin has really generated so much free cash flow, why doesn't it pay dividends upstream to help Seek service or pay down its near toxic levels of debt?**

Zhaopin is even more of an outlier when compared to Seek's other businesses, such as Online Education Service ("**OES**"), which pays a steady dividend almost every year. Historically, OES's net profits were 64% less than Zhaopin's. Yet OES pays a dividend. OES's dividend payout ratio increased in the past two years because Seek needed to take more and more money out of the business.

### OES Financials Reported by Seek

AUD M	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Cumulative
Net Profit	24	24	21	19	16	102
<b>Dividend Payments</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>130</b>
<b>Payout Ratio</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>152%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>249%</b>	<b>239%</b>	<b>127%</b>

Source: Seek Annual Reports

Why does OES, which generates a fraction of the profits and cash flows of Zhaopin, make proportionally larger (and more consistent) dividend payments up to the parent company?

Seek's investors believe that the Company's debts will be serviced by cash generated by Zhaopin. But if that is the case, then why doesn't Zhaopin pay dividends? There is some urgency to this question, as Seek almost breached its

<sup>1</sup> Zhaopin declared another dividend payment in April 2014, two months before raising AUD 93 million from its IPO.

covenant limits this year. Seek admitted to the market that it obtained covenant relief from creditors until June 2021 – but why is such relief necessary if Zhaopin produced so much free cash and had ample cash on its balance sheet?

**Debt metrics to remain within increased covenant limits:** While we expect SEK's debt metrics to reach levels which would be uncomfortable under normal circumstances (with CSe for Borrower Group Net Debt/EBITDA of 3.7x in FY21), the increase in covenant limits up to the June 2021 testing date likely provides the company with headroom. Receipt of dividends from Zhaopin also remains an option (with Zhaopin having immediate access to cA\$250m of cash) – **we estimate the receipt of A\$50mn of dividends from Zhaopin would lower SEK's leverage ratio by 0.6x.**

**Figure 7: Calculation of leverage ratio (covenant purposes)**

	FY20	1H21F	FY21F	FY22F
Borrower Group Net Debt (A\$m)	1,144	1,132	1,091	965
less Subordinated Notes (A\$m)	-150	-225	-225	-225
add Derivative instruments (A\$m)	49	40	40	40
<b>Net debt (covenant purposes) (A\$m)</b>	<b>1,043</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>906</b>	<b>780</b>
Borrower Group EBITDA (A\$m)	263	224	206	297
Cash dividends from subsidiaries (A\$m)	40	40	40	40
EBITDA (covenant purposes) (A\$m)	303	264	246	337
<b>Net Debt/EBITDA (covenant purposes)</b>	<b>3.4x</b>	<b>3.6x</b>	<b>3.7x</b>	<b>2.3x</b>

Source: Company data, Credit Suisse estimates

*Source: Credit Suisse Research Report, August 13, 2020*

The sell side clearly counts on dividends from Zhaopin to help Seek. So where are they?<sup>2</sup> And why did Seek so urgently need relief on covenants from creditors if Zhaopin already had the cash?

A company under a cloud of suspicion can allay such fears by either paying dividends or paying off debts. Zhaopin does neither.

<sup>2</sup> Nor can Seek rely on dividends from OES. Local filings show that OES's cash has decreased from AUD 62 million in FY 2018 to AUD 36 million in FY 2019 because Seek has taken so much out of the business.

*DISCLAIMER We are short sellers. We are biased. So are long investors. So is Seek. So are the banks that raised money for the Company. If you are invested (either long or short) in Seek, so are you. Just because we are biased does not mean that we are wrong. We, like everyone else, are entitled to our opinions and to the right to express such opinions in a public forum. We believe that the publication of our opinions about the public companies we research is in the public interest.*

*You are reading a short-biased opinion piece. Obviously, we will make money if the price of Seek stock declines. This report and all statements contained herein are the opinion of BOC Texas, LLC, and are not statements of fact. Our opinions are held in good faith, and we have based them upon publicly available evidence, which we set out in our research report to support our opinions. We conducted research and analysis based on public information in a manner that any person could have done if they had been interested in doing so. You can publicly access any piece of evidence cited in this report or that we relied on to write this report. Think critically about our report and do your own homework before making any investment decisions. We are prepared to support everything we say, if necessary, in a court of law.*

*As of the publication date of this report, BOC Texas, LLC (a Texas limited liability company) (possibly along with or through our members, partners, affiliates, employees, and/or consultants) along with our clients and/or investors has a direct or indirect short position in the stock (and/or possibly other options or instruments) of the company covered herein, and therefore stands to realize significant gains if the price of such instrument declines. Use BOC Texas, LLC's research at your own risk. You should do your own research and due diligence before making any investment decision with respect to the securities covered herein. The opinions expressed in this report are not investment advice nor should they be construed as investment advice or any recommendation of any kind.*

*This report is not available to Australian residents. This report and its contents are not intended to be and do not constitute or contain any financial product advice as defined in the Australian Corporations Act 2001 (Cth). Because this document has been prepared without consideration of any specific clients investment objectives, financial situation or needs, no information in this report should be construed as recommending or suggesting an investment strategy. Investors should seek their own financial, legal and tax advice in respect of any decision regarding any securities discussed herein. At this time, because of ambiguity in Australian law, we are restricting access to our reports by Australian residents. Australian residents are encouraged to contact their lawmakers to clarify the ambiguity under Australian financial licensing requirements.*

*Following publication of this report, we intend to continue transacting in the securities covered therein, and we may be long, short, or neutral at any time hereafter regardless of our initial opinion. This is not an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any security, nor shall any security be offered or sold to any person, in any jurisdiction in which such offer would be unlawful under the securities laws of such jurisdiction. To the best of our ability and belief, all information contained herein is accurate and reliable, and has been obtained from public sources we believe to be accurate and reliable, and who are not insiders or connected persons of the stock covered herein or who may otherwise owe any fiduciary duty or duty of confidentiality to the issuer. As is evident by the contents of our research and analysis, we expend considerable time and attention in an effort to ensure that our research analysis and written materials are complete and accurate. We strive for accuracy and completeness to support our opinions, and we have a good-faith belief in everything we write, however, all such information is presented "as is," without warranty of any kind—whether express or implied.*

*If you are in the United Kingdom, you confirm that you are subscribing and/or accessing BOC Texas, LLC research and materials on behalf of: (A) a high net worth entity (e.g., a company with net assets of GBP 5 million or a high value trust) falling within Article 49 of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial Promotion) Order 2005 (the "FPO"); or (B) an investment professional (e.g., a financial institution, government or local authority, or international organization) falling within Article 19 of the FPO.*

*This report should only be considered in its entirety. Each section should be read in the context of the entire report, and no section, paragraph, sentence or phrase is intended to stand alone or to be interpreted in isolation without reference to the rest of the report. The section headings contained in this report are for reference purposes only and may only be considered in conjunction with the detailed statements of opinions in their respective sections.*

*BOC Texas, LLC makes no representation, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any such information or with regard to the results to be obtained from its use. All expressions of opinion are subject to change without notice, and BOC Texas, LLC does not undertake a duty to update or supplement this report or any of the information contained herein. By downloading and opening this report you knowingly and independently agree: (i) that any dispute arising from your use of this report or viewing the material herein shall be governed by the laws of the State of Texas, without regard to any conflict of law provisions; (ii) to submit to the personal and exclusive jurisdiction of the superior courts located within the State of Texas and waive your right to any other jurisdiction or applicable law, given that BOC Texas, LLC is a Texas limited liability company that operates in Texas; and (iii) that regardless of any statute or law to the contrary, any claim or cause of action arising out of or related to use of this website or the material herein must be filed within one (1) year after such claim or cause of action arose or be forever barred. The failure of BOC Texas, LLC to exercise or enforce any right or provision of this disclaimer shall not constitute a waiver of this right or provision. If any provision of this disclaimer is found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, the parties nevertheless agree that the court should endeavor to give effect to the parties' intentions as reflected in the provision and rule that the other provisions of this disclaimer remain in full force and effect, in particular as to this governing law and jurisdiction provision.*